

MGA brings you unrivalled fortnightly coverage of the mobile gaming sector including information on:

- Operator and vendor strategies
- Traditional gaming sector's mobile plans
- Mobile games developers, publishers and aggregators activity
- Mobile gaming value chain

Mobile Gamesanalyst also includes regular sector data to augment your analysis including:

- Games services offered by operator
- Rankings of mobile game publishers by operator deals
- Extensive rolling database of content deals
- Operator mobile games user statistics

MGA delivers an intelligent mix of:

- Case studies
- Sector profiles
- Country profiles
- Exclusive data

From the publishers of

Mobile Media

Kurt Uhler says the industry's lack of understanding of the complexities of location technology is holding back LBG

As early as 2000, developers and publishers were citing location-based gaming (LBG) as an aspect of the nascent mobile games industry that would bring mobile entertainment into consumers' daily lives.

But while software revenue from mobile games has increased to more than US\$1 billion – and is forecast to generate more than US\$2 billion this year – we have only seen a few commercial launches of LBG, which have generated minimal revenues.

Device limitations, business-model fluctuations and bandwidth constraints hindered innovation in all aspects of mobile game design in its early years. From a commercial perspective, the early – and relatively cheap – porting of original game concepts to mobile devices answered much of consumers' early requirements for mobile games and enabled the industry to find its legs. But the reliance on console-game ports also left little need for companies to investigate what truly separates mobile gaming from fixed gaming.

The mobile games industry did see a few early pioneers brave the location frontier with titles such as *BotFighters* from It's Alive (now owned by Swedish games company Daydream Software), Portuguese developer Ydreams' *Undercover* and France-based Newt Games' *Mogi Mogi*, along with several academic proofs, such as *CitiTag*, from the UK's Open University.

With the technological advancement of handsets and other mobile entertainment devices, and the higher bandwidth of 2.5G and 3G networks, the gaming industry is beginning to rethink what "mobile" means to game play. It is inspiring to hear these intentions, but the industry still has many false impressions about LBG.

In order to truly move forward with the inclusion of location into game play, companies must first understand the definition of a location-based game. Second, they must understand the complexities, strengths and weaknesses of location technologies. And third, they need to move past the "all or nothing" belief that can be seen in most discussions on the topic.

LBG describes any game that incorporates the player's location (even if relative) and/or movement into the game. This might include tracking a phone as it moves through a city during a virtual paintball match or a treasure hunt, changing the weather in the game to match the weather in the players' location, or monitoring players' direction, velocity and acceleration during a high-intensity "battle."

While LBG is often described at conferences and in meetings as a new genre, the term more accurately groups games across all genres that incorporate location into the game. The same can be said when attempting to classify LBG as a casual, hard-core, pervasive or ultrapassive game.

Next, mobile games development brings its own level of difficulties. But when attempting to build location into games, many developers and publishers underestimate the complexity of location technologies, the difficulties involved with integrating spatial data, and the accuracy of consumers' location that are needed in various game situations.

In addition, positioning technologies, such as triangulation, GPS and Cell-ID, each have their own complexities, accuracies and latencies. Finally, the choice of location technology that is implemented often varies by carrier and region of the world.

The good news for the mobile games industry is that the work carried out on location-based utilities and applications can be carried over to the development of mobile games. Furthermore, the increasing inclusion of Bluetooth in devices enables the easier integration of GPS, while the emergence of location platforms for mobile games offers additional opportunities.

But developers, publishers and carriers need to step back from the "all or nothing" stance that many have taken when it comes to LBG.

I often hear people dismiss LBG if they are not able to locate a player 100% of the time within a 1m-by-1m square both indoors and outside. I admit that this would be the ideal situation, but it is by no means required.

When designing games, writing storylines and setting expectations, the industry must think about how accurate the average location "spot" will be, how often the player's location can be updated, how the game will deal with the occasional inaccurate location reading and what the benefits are to incorporating location into game play.

BotFighters 2, *Mogi Mogi* and Ydreams' soon-to-be-released *Undercover 2: Merc Wars* are great examples of how different location technologies can be used to offer unique game experiences. These games are also good examples of the reasons not to group LBG into a separate niche but to look at it as a subgenre within established categories of game play.

The next few releases of LBG will demonstrate substantial advancement in the area and will be an important part of the expansion of the mobile games industry. As the excitement about LBG grows, developers, publishers and carriers must be astute in their preproduction planning to make sure they understand location technologies and the effects each will have on game play.

Kurt Uhler is an independent technologist and chairman of the International Game Developer's Association Mobile Game Development SIG (Mobile-SIG). Contact www.igda.org/mobile